

Course Syllabus Intelligent Organizations and Knowledge Management

August – December 2021

X Semester

Professor

Fabiola Altamirano Samaniego

I. General Information

Course:	Intelligent Organizations and Knowledge Management		
Requirement:	Tecnologías de la Información para la Gestión del Capital Humano Evaluación y Gestión del Rendimiento	Code:	07023
Precedence:	-	Semester:	2021-2
Credits:	3	Level:	X
Horas per week:	3 hours	Course modality:	Online
Career(s)	Organizational Psychology	Course coordinator:	Varinia Bustos vbustos@esan.edu.pe

II. Summary

This course contemplates the analysis of the principles that promote an "intelligent organization", the five disciplines of Senge, evaluation and diagnosis of the organization with a view to the development of an intelligent organization and the use of technological tools. This course also analyzes the scenarios of training promotion or e-learning, the development of the necessary skills within organizations to identify and distribute good practices or critical information and use it among its members, as well as to assess and assimilate it, making use of information technologies, tutorials via e-mail, tutorials via video conference, computer-assisted instruction.

III. Course Objectives

Develop project initiatives in knowledge management aligned to business strategy and learn to measure them as intangible assets to support value creation in intelligent organizations with intellectual capital.

IV. Learning Results

By the end of the semester, the student will be able to:

 Recognize the differences between data, information, organizational knowledge, and intelligent organizations. Recognize the processes of knowledge management within learning organizations and in relation to their environment.

- Analyze the resources that affect the development of the Knowledge Management (KM) processes in an Intelligent Organization through Resource Based-View (RBV) to demonstrate the value creation.
- Propose a project that supports the development of knowledge management in an organization through an alignment tool and strategic control (intellectual capital and balance scorecard), to demonstrate the value creation as firm performance.

V. Methodology

Active participation is highly promoted and expected from the students. For this reason, students must review and analyze readings, updated articles, and study cases from UEVirtual. This review and analysis will allow students to develop Case Reports, Case Presentations, Reading Controls, and Practical Evaluations. Furthermore, the students must prepare a Final Report through research, collaborative work, and exposure of topics of interest to the course. The student will seek to increase their skills of analysis and synthesis, critical thinking, problem identification, and solution, always considering an ethical conduct.

To achieve the objectives, the class sessions will have a conceptual part as well as a practical one.

Class Participation: In addition to the assistance, the teacher will consider participation with relevant ideas.

Case Reports & Presentations: The course is oriented towards real cases. The development of the case report is groupwork. The case report should be based on the concepts of the session that correspond to source techniques and skills that will allow to do a critical analysis and, therefore, arrive to a solution to the case. The development of the document should be academic, technical, and efficient. The case report must be presented as a pictographic, for which the students will be able to use their creativity in order to give a professional and creative presentation as well as with their election of the tool to use. Every group will have the opportunity to present a case report with a maximum of ten minutes per group. All workgroups should solve assigned cases, be prepared to present their proposals, and answer questions that will be discussed in class to assess their ability for effectively communicating their ideas.

Reading Controls: These are evaluations based on readings assigned to the students beforehand.

Practical Evaluations: These are personal evaluations covering aspects of teacher presentations, class discussion, readings cases assigned in the class session. These evaluations will consider information from any of the sessions reviewed up to the moment of class.

Final Report: All groups should prepare a Final Report. This Report includes all topics of the course for an organization selected by the group. The minimum required structure will be:

- 1. Introduction to Organization (Vision, Mission, Principles and Values, Strategic Goals ...)
- 2. Organizational Timeline
- 3. Recent Events in specific area (i.e. HR, IT, others)
- 4. External Factors: Macro and Micro Environments
 - a. Macro-environment (Social, Economic, Political/Legal, Technological ...)
 - b. Micro-environment (Suppliers, Customers, Competitions, Substitutes ...)

- 5. Internal Factors: Organizational Resources
 - a. Organizational Structure
 - b. Organizational processes
 - c. People: Positions and Functions
 - d. Organizational Culture
 - e. Information Technology
 - f. Organizational infrastructure
- 6. Map-Matrix Resources and Knowledge Management Processes
- 7. Project Proposal to Knowledge Management Processes
 - a. Knowledge Generation
 - b. Knowledge Coding and Coordination
 - c. Knowledge Transfer
 - d. Knowledge Use and Reuse
- 8. Intellectual Capital Report (including Strategic Map and BSC)
 - a. People, Learning and Knowledge Focus
 - b. Internal Operations Focus
 - c. Customer Focus
 - d. Financial Focus
- 9. Discussion and Conclusions
- 10. Bibliography.

VI. Evaluation

The evaluation system is integral and continuous. It involves the Permanent Average (60%), the Midterm Exam (20%) and Final Exam (20%).

Permanent Average includes these items:

PERMANENT AVERAGE - PA: 60%			
Type of evaluation	Description	Weight (100%)	
Reading controls	3 reading controls during the semester.	15%	
Study Cases – Reports & Presentation	5 Case Reports: presentation and class discussion	25%	
Practical Evaluation	Practical Evaluations #1	15%	
Practical Evaluation	Practical Evaluations #2	15%	
Final Report	Previous Report (points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) With class discussion and presentation	10%	
	Last Report (points from 1 to 8)	15%	
Class Participation	Active participation during the semester	5%	

The Final Average (FA) corresponds to this equation:

$$FA = (0.20 \times ME) + (0.60 \times PA) + (0.20 \times FE)$$

Where:

FA = Final Average | ME = Midterm Exam | PA = Permanent Average | FE = Final Exam

VII. Content schedule

I FARNING	LEARNING UNIT I RESULTS: Recognize the differences between data, in	formation organizational
	intelligent organizations. Recognize the processes of kn	
_	learning organizations and in relation to their environments	
Week	Contents	Activities / Evaluation
	CONCEPTS OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT & INTELLIGENT ORGANIZATIONS	Class Introduction
	Previous Concepts	Syllabus Presentation
1 st	Types of Knowledge and Intelligent	
_	Organizations	1 st PPT & Class Participation
August 23rd – 28th	Knowledge categories in the companyThe Knowledge Management Processes	Participation
	Mandatory reading: Ancona, D., Malone, T. W., Orlikowski, W. J., & Senge, P. M. (2007). In praise of the incomplete leader. Harvard Business Review, 85(2), 92-100. Bornemann, M., et al., (2003). An Illustrated Guide to	
	Knowledge Management. Sammer, Martin (Eds.), Wissenmanagement Forum, Graz, Austria.	
	ORGANIZATIONAL KNOWLEDGE	2 nd PPT & Class
	IDENTIFICATION	Participation
	Identification of Knowledge	1 st Case Report
	Data, Information, and Knowledge	Presentation &
2 nd	Knowledge and Management	Discussion
August 30th – September 4th	Mandatory reading: Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation. Oxford University Press Introduction to Knowledge in Organizations. Ch. 1.	Porter M. (1993) Hattori- Seiko . Harvard Business School, Boston, MA. Case Number: 9-385-300.
	Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know. Harvard Business Press ¿What do we talk about when we talk about Knowledge? Ch. 1.	
	KNOWLEDGE GENERATION	1 st Part - Final Report
	CONCEPTS, TECHNIQUES, MODELS – part 1	Presentations
	Creating Knowledge: Concepts and	Introduction to Organization
	Techniques	a. Vision
	Formation techniques applicable to the creation	b. Mission
3 rd	of knowledge	c. Principles and Values
	SECI Model	d. Strategic Goals 2. Organizational Timeline
September 6th – 11th	Mandatory reading: Nonaka, I. & Takeuchi, H. (1995). Op.cit Theory of Organizational Knowledge Creation. Chapter 3.	Recent Events in Specific Area
	Nonaka, I. (2007). The knowledge-creating company. Harvard Business Review, 85(7/8), 162-171.	1 st Reading control 3 rd PPT & Class Participation

4 th September 13th – 18th	KNOWLEDGE GENERATION CONCEPTS, TECHNIQUES, MODELS – part 2 (Presencial Session) Types of Knowledge Generation Knowledge and Innovation How to motivate staff to create knowledge How to maintain updated knowledge Mandatory reading: Davenport, T. & Prusak, L. (1998). Op.cit. Knowledge Generation. Ch. 3. Coyne, K. P., Clifford, P. G., & Dye, R. (2007). Breakthrough thinking from inside the box. Harvard Business Review, 85(12), 71-78.	4 th PPT & Class Participation 2 nd Case Report Presentation & Discussion Davenport, T. (1997). If only HP knew what HP knows Managing Organizational Knowledge. The Ernst & Young Center for Business Innovation. 1 st Computer Lab: Group System for Brainstorming
5 th September 20th – 25th	 KNOWLEDGE CODING AND COORDINATION Principles of Knowledge Codification Codification Dimensions of Knowledge Mapping Knowledge Mandatory reading: Davenport, T. & Prusak, L. (1998). Op.cit. - Knowledge Codification and Coordination. Ch. 4. Laudon, K. C. and Laudon, J. P. (2012). Management Information. Systems: Managing the Digital Firm. 12th Edition. Pearson. - Global e-Business and Collaboration. Ch. 2. 	2 nd Part - Final Report Presentations 1. Introduction to Organization 2. Organizational Timeline 3. Recent Events in Specific Area 4. External Factors a. Macro-environment b. Micro-environment 2 nd Computer Lab: AtlasTI for Coding & Content Analysis 2 nd Reading control 5 th PPT & Class Participation
6 th September 27th – October 2nd	 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER, USE AND LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS Strategies, Frictions, and Solutions of Knowledge Transference Transfer = Transmission + Absorption (& Use) Learning Organizations Mandatory reading: Davenport, T. & Prusak, L. (1998). Op. cit Knowledge Transfer. Ch. 5. Garvin, D. A., Edmondson, A. C., & Gino, F. (2008). Tool kit: Is yours a learning organization? Harvard Business Review. Reprint No. R0803H, 1-11. 	6 th PPT & Class Participation 3 rd Case Report Presentation & Discussion Marchand, Chung & Paddack (2003). CEMEX. International Institute for Management Development, Lausanne, Switzerland. Case N° IMD084.
	LEARNING UNIT II B RESULTS: Analyze resources that affect the developin Organization through Resource Based-View to demonst RESOURCES BASED-VIEW & KM IN INTELLIGENT ORGANIZATIONS Resource Based-View and Knowledge Management Knowledge-oriented Personnel The Knowledge Management Workers Mandatory reading: Gimbert, X. (2009). El núcleo estratégico como modelo de gestión ante la complejidad. Harvard Deusto Business Review, pp. 37-48.	

	Gottschalk, P. (2005). Strategic KM Technology. IGP Resource-Based Strategy for KM. Ch. II pp.43-86	Culture e. Information Technology f. Organizational Infrastructure 7th PPT & Class Participation / Map Matrix 1st Practical evaluation
8 th		
October 11th – 16th	MIDTERM EXAM	
	 RBV: STRUCTURES AND PROCESSES The organizational structure types and operational innovation. 	8th PPT & Class Participation
9 th	The process management standards.	4 th Part – Final Report Presentations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
October 18th – 23rd	Mandatory reading: Davenport, T. (2005). La futura comercialización de los procesos. Harvard Deusto Business Review, pp. 23-30.	6. Map-Matrix Resources and KM Processes
	Hammer, M. (2004). Cambio profundo. Cómo la innovación operacional puede transformar su empresa. Harvard Business Review América Latina, pp. 3-10.	
	 RBV: WORKERS AND CULTURE Knowledge workers Organizational Culture as a Resource of Knowledge 	3rd Computer Lab: UCInet & NetDraw for Network Analysis
10 th	Mandatory reading: Davenport, T. & Prusak, L. (1998). Op.cit Knowledge Roles and Skills. Chapter 6.	9 th PPT & Class Participation
October 25th – 30th	Keyworth, T.R., Leidner, D.E. (2004). Organizational culture as knowledge resource. In: Holsapple, C. (Ed.) Handbook on knowledge management: knowledge matters (pp.235-252) (v.1). Berlín: Springer (International handbooks on IS)	
	Robles, J.; Vilcapoma, E. & Matute, G. (2006). Identificación de Redes de Conocimiento mediante el Análisis de Redes Sociales. AMCIS 2006 Proceedings. Paper 516.	
11 th	RBV: INFRASTRUCTURE AND TECHNOLOGY Collaborative Tools: Groupware	10 th PPT & Class Participation
November 2nd – 6th	Case Based Reasoning Mandatory reading: Laudon, K. C. and Laudon, J. P. (2012). Op. cit. - Knowledge Management. Ch. 11. Davenport, T. & Prusak, L. (1998) Op. cit. - Technology for Knowledge Management. Ch. 7.	

LEARNING UNIT III

LEARNING RESULTS: Propose a project that supports the development of knowledge management in an organization (as IO) through an alignment tool and strategic control (intellectual capital and balanced scorecard), to demonstrate the value creation as firm performance.

	recorded and first and the value of eation as i	
12 th	 INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL – PRINCIPLES Hidden Value The New Balance The Navigator 	11 th PPT & Class Participation
November 8th – 13th	Mandatory reading: Edvinsson, L., & Malone, M. S. (1997). Intellectual Capital: Realizing Your Company's True Value by Finding Its Hidden Brainpower Finding its Way. Ch. 3 Navigating through a New World. Ch. 4.	4 th Case Report Presentation & Discussion McAfee & De Royere (2006). Los Grobo. Harvard Business School, Boston, MA. Case N° 606-S30.
13th November	 KM PROJECT MANAGEMENT FOR IO Knowledge Management Projects From Organizational Strategy to Strategy Project Projects, Innovation and Strategy Portfolio, Program, and Project Mandatory reading: Davenport, T. & Prusak, L. (1998). Op. cit. Knowledge Management Projects in Practice. Ch. 8. Gutiérrez, W.; Vargas, K.; Gracia, S.; Dzul, L. A. (2011). 	4 th Computer Lab: MS Project (Youtube link) 12th PPT & Class Participation 5th Case Report Presentation & Discussion
15th – 20th	Proyectos, Innovación y Estrategia (PIE), Tecnología en Marcha, 24(4), 69-84. Bravo, B.; Dzul, L.; Gracia, S. y Fernandez, F. (2009). Coordinación entre los niveles de gestión de proyectos: Portafolio, programa y proyecto, Revista Dyna, 84 (5), 421-428.	Bartlett & Mahmood (1998). Skandia AFS. Harvard Business School, Boston, MA. Case N° 9-396-412. 3 rd Reading control
14 th	INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL & BSC APPLICATION THE INTANGIBLE ASSETS MEASUREMENT The value of intangibles: Measuring IC The Performance of Knowledge Management Knowledge Management, Competency	4 th Computer Lab: SPSS to measure Intellectual Capital (Paper Explanation) 13 th PPT & Class Participation
November 22nd – 27th	Management and Intellectual Capital Mandatory reading: Edvinsson, L., & Malone, M. S. (1997). Op.cit All Together Now. Chapter 10. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2004). Measuring the strategic readiness of intangible assets. Harvard Business Review, 82(2), 52-63. Salcedo, N. et al (2016). Testing of a Measurement Instrument on Intellectual Capital and Performance for Academic Sector in LatAm. ESAN University. 14p.	5 th Part – Final Report Presentations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. KM Project Proposal
4Fth	VALUE CREATION AS FIRM PERFORMANCE Recent research about Knowledge Management, Learning Organizations and Intellectual Capital, and their effects on firm performance.	14 th PPT & Class Participation 6 th Part – Final Report Presentation 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. Intellectual Capital Report (include Strategic
15 th November	Mandatory reading: Sharabati, A. A. A., Naji Jawad, S., & Bontis, N. (2010). Intellectual Capital and Business Performance in the	Map and BSC) a. Learning and Knowledge

29th – December 4th	pharmaceutical sector of Jordan. Management Decision, 48(1), 105-131. Wang, Wang, & Liang (2014). Knowledge sharing, intellectual capital and firm performance, Management Decision, 52(2), 230-258.	Focus b. Internal Operations Focus c. Customer Focus d. Financial Focus
		2 nd Practical evaluation
16 th		
December 6th – 11th	FINAL EXAM	

VIII. References

Basic Bibliography:

- Asrar-ul-Haq, M. Anwar, S. (2016). A systematic review of knowledge management and knowledge sharing: Trends, issues, and challenges. *Cogent. Business & Management*, 3, 1-17. Retrieved 03/14/2019, from: https://www.cogentoa.com/article/10.1080/23311975.2015.1127744
- Santoro, G. Vrontis, D. Thrassou, A. Dezi, L. (2016). The Internet of Things: Building a knowledge management system for open innovation and knowledge management capacity. Science Direct, 136, 347-354. Retrieved 03/14/2019, from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040162517302846
- Agrawal, A. Chowdhary, A. (2016). Perspective: Materials informatics and big data: Realization of the "fourth paradigm" of science in materials science. *APL Materials*. 1-11. Retrieved 03/14/2019, from: https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.1063/1.4946894?class=pdf

Complementary Bibliography:

- Ancona, D., Malone, T. W., Orlikowski, W. J., Senge, P. M. (2007). In praise of the incomplete leader. Harvard Business Review, 85(2), 92-100.Choo, C. W. (2002). Information management for the intelligent organization: the art of scanning the environment. New Jersey: Information Today, Inc.
- Gottschalk, P. (2005). Strategic Knowledge Management Technology. IGP. Pennsylvania: Idea Group Publishing.
- Holsapple, C. (2004) Handbook on knowledge management 1:Knowledge Matters.
 Berlin: Springer.
- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2004). *Measuring the strategic readiness of intangible assets*. Boston: Harvard Business Review, 82(2), 52-63.
- Laudon, K. C. and Laudon, J. P. (2012). *Management Information. Systems: Managing the Digital Firm.* 12th Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Nonaka, I. (2007). *The knowledge-creating company*. Boston: Harvard Business Review, 85(7/8), 162-171.

- Robles, J.; Vilcapoma, E. & Matute, G. (2006). Identificación de Redes de Conocimiento mediante el Análisis de Redes Sociales. AMCIS 2006 Proceedings. Paper 516.
- Senge, P. (1990). The fifth discipline: The art and science of the learning organization.
 New York: Currency Doubleday.
- Sharabati, A. A. A., Naji Jawad, S., & Bontis, N. (2010). Intellectual Capital and Business Performance in the pharmaceutical sector of Jordan. *Management Decision*, 48(1), 105-131.
- Wang, Wang, & Liang (2014). Knowledge sharing, intellectual capital and firm performance, Management Decision, 52(2), 230-258.

IX. Laboratory Requirement

Laboratory sessions will take place twice in the first half of the semester and twice in the second half, as follows:

- Week 4°: GroupSystem for Brainstorming
- Week 6°: Atlas TI for Coding and Content Analysis
- Week 10°: UCInet & NetDraw for Network Analysis
- Week 13°: MS-Project for Project Proposal
- Week 14°: SPSS to measure Intellectual Capital

X. Professor

Fabiola Altamirano

faltamirano@esan.edu.pe